A States
FLORIDA
10-000000000

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE ARMS COMPLAINT NO				
AIRS ID#: 1150150 DATE: <u>12/04/2007</u>	ARRIVE: <u>~1:45 pm</u>	DEPART: <u>~2:00 pm</u>			
FACILITY NAME: PYRAMID PAVERS (new name).					
FACILITY LOCATION: 510 Paul Morris Drive					
ENGLEWOOD 34223-3	3960				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: BRAI	O WALKER PHON	E: (941)474-2323			
CONTACT NAME: Tom Hatton	PHON	E: (941)474-2323			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/6/2006 / 10/6/2011 (effective date) (end date)					
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREM</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u>ENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F	S.A.C.			
 Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this s 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions tests of the silo dust collec at a rate that is representative of the normal silo load unless such rate is unachievable in practice? 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) oper to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)a) Was the batching operation in operation during the by During the visible emissions test, was the batching duration?	, and other enclosed storage a hissions to 5 percent opacity? etor exhaust points was the lo ding rate, or at least at the mi ration controlled by the silo cons 4.a) and 4.b) below. If an he visible emissions test? ng rate representative of the re	and conveying equipment Yes No bading of the silo conducted nimum 25 tons per hour rate, Yes No dust collector? (If answer nswer is "No" then Yes No normal batching rate and Yes No collector, which is separate batcher) dust collector			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)			
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) Yes Yes 			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate:			
 a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? a) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form 			
submittal date? [Yes] No			
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to 			
the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes No			
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the			
4. Was the required test report field with the department as soon as practical, but no fater than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □No			

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(c	check 🗹 appropriate box(es))
1.	. Is this facility: 1) a stationary (2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Donly one box.</i>)
2.	. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below.</i>)

	then proceed to questions 2.a), the 2.a), below:)	
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
	calendar year?	- 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	Yes No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
	a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
	b) material processed on a monthly basis?	TYes No
	c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	Tyes 🕅 No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	l
		emissions?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator	r to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
		particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?----- Yes No b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?---- Yes Yes No c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?------ Yes No d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?-----

Debbie Telemeco-Anders, ESII

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

12/04/2007

Date of Inspection

 ~ 2008

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: INS 2. Was supposed to witness VE compliance test; however miscommunication bewteen Consultant (863-467-0555) & Facility. Test to be rescheduled when silo can accommodate a load.